

Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution, MS-48
7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1609

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION				
Requestor's Name and Address:	MFDR Tracking #: M4-07-1145-01			
HARRIS METHODIST HEB				
3255 W PIONEER PKWY				
ARLINGTON TX 76013				
Respondent Name and Box #:				
AMERICAN HOME ASSURANCE CO.				
Rep Box # 19				

PART II: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY AND PRINCIPAL DOCUMENTATION

Requestor's Position Summary: "Medicare would have allowed \$1704.59 for APC #0154. Allowing this at 140% as fair and reasonable would have allowed \$2386.43, leaving a balance of \$1268.43 still owed. We respectfully ask that you allow this at the Medicare allowable for this admission."

Principal Documentation:

- 1. DWC 60 Package
- 2. Total Amount Sought \$1268.43
- 3. Hospital Bill
- 4. EOBs
- 5. Medical Records

PART III: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY AND PRINCIPAL DOCUMENTATION

Respondent's Position Summary: "It is the Respondents position that the Requestor was paid more than a fair and reasonable amount as determined in accordance with the criteria for payment under the ACT. Specifically, the amount paid by the Respondent was more than that which would be allowed under Medicare. Respondent has paid Requestor \$1118.00 which is the same amount that a full service hospital would be paid for its facility charges associated with a spinal surgery and a one-day inpatient hospitalization." ... "As the Requestor, the health care provider has the burden to proof that the fees paid were not fair and reasonable."

Principal Documentation:

1. DWC 60 Package

PART IV: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Date(s) of Service	Denial Code(s)	Disputed Service	Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
4/24/2006	W10, W1, F	Outpatient Surgery	\$1268.43	\$0.00
Total /Due:				\$0.00

PART V: REVIEW OF SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY AND EXPLANATION

Texas Labor Code §413.011(a-d), titled *Reimbursement Policies and Guidelines*, and Division rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.1, titled *Use of the Fee Guidelines*, effective May 16, 2002 set out the reimbursement guidelines.

- 1. For the services involved in this dispute, the respondent reduced or denied payment with reason codes:
 - W10-No maximum allowable defined by fee guideline. Reimbursement made based on insurance carrier fair and reasonable

reimbursement methodology. Reduced to fair and reasonable.

- W1, F- When medically necessary, implantables & orthotics and prosthetics are reimbursed at cost to the hospital plus 10% per the Texas Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline; page 70.
- 2. This dispute relates to outpatient surgical services provided in a hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Division rule at 28 TAC §134.1, effective May 16, 2002, 27 TexReg 4047, which requires that "reimbursement for services not identified in an established fee guideline shall be reimbursed at fair and reasonable rates as described in the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, §413.011"...
- 3. Texas Labor Code §413.011(d) requires that fee guidelines must be fair and reasonable and designed to ensure the quality of medical care and to achieve effective medical cost control. The guidelines may not provide for payment of a fee in excess of the fee charged for similar treatment of an injured individual of an equivalent standard of living and paid by that individual or by someone acting on that individual's behalf. It further requires that the Division consider the increased security of payment afforded by the Act in establishing the fee guidelines.
- 4. Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(e)(2)(B), effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282; and applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003 requires that the request shall include "a copy of each explanation of benefits (EOB) or response to the refund request relevant to the dispute or, if no EOB was received, convincing evidence of carrier receipt of the provider request for an EOB." This request for medical fee dispute resolution was received by the Division on October 19, 2006. Review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not provided a copy of the EOB detailing the insurance carrier's response to the request for reconsideration. Nor has the requestor provided evidence of carrier receipt of the request for an EOB. The requestor has therefore failed to complete the required sections of the request in the form and manner prescribed by the Division sufficient to meet the requirements of 28 TAC §133.307(e)(2)(B).
- 5. Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(e)(2)(C), effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282; and applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003 requires that the request shall include "a table listing the specific disputed health care and charges in the form, format and manner prescribed by the commission". Review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has indicated that the amount billed for the services in dispute is the total for all services charged on the hospital bill; however the documentation does not support that all of the services in dispute were rendered on the date of service listed on the requestor's *Table of Disputed Services*. The requestor listed the disputed date of service as 4/24/06 on the *Table*; the total charges on the bill were for dates of service 4/19/06 and 4/24/06. Therefore, the requestor has failed to complete the required sections of the request in the form, format, and manner prescribed by the Division sufficient to meet the requirements of 28 TAC §133.307(e)(2)(C).
- 6. Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(C), effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282; and applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003 requires the requestor to send additional documentation relevant to the fee dispute including "a statement of the disputed issue(s) that shall include: (i) a description of the healthcare for which payment is in dispute, (ii) the requestor's reasoning for why the disputed fees should be paid or refunded, (iii) how the Texas Labor Code and commission [now the Division] rules, and fee guidelines, impact the disputed fee issues, and (iv) how the submitted documentation supports the requestor position for each disputed fee issue. Review of the submitted documentation finds that the requestor did not discuss or explain how the Texas Labor Code and Division rules impact the disputed fee issues, or how the submitted documentation supports the requestor's position for each disputed fee issue. The Division concludes that requestor has not provided documentation sufficient to meet the requirements of Division rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(C).
- 7. Division Rule at 28 TAC §133.307(g)(3)(D), effective January 1, 2003, 27 TexReg 12282, applicable to disputes filed on or after January 1, 2003, requires the requestor to provide "documentation that discusses, demonstrates, and justifies that the payment amount being sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement in accordance with §133.1 of this title (relating to Definitions) and §134.1 of this title (relating to Use of the Fee Guidelines)". The requestor asserts in the position statement that "Medicare would have allowed \$1704.59 for APC #0154. Allowing this at 140% as fair and reasonable would have allowed \$2386.43..." The requestor did not discuss or explain how it determined that the 140% Medicare rate would yield a fair and reasonable reimbursement. Nor did the requestor submit evidence, such as redacted EOBs showing typical carrier payments, nationally recognized published studies, Division medical dispute decisions, or documentation of values assigned for services involving similar work and resource commitments, to support the proposed methodology. Nor has the requestor discussed how the proposed methodology would be consistent with the criteria of Labor Code §413.011, or would ensure similar reimbursement to similar procedures provided in similar circumstances. Review of the documentation submitted by the requestor finds that the requestor has not discussed, demonstrated or justified that the payment amount sought is a fair and reasonable rate of reimbursement in accordance with 28 TAC §134.1. The request for additional reimbursement is not supported.
- 8. The Division would like to emphasize that individual medical fee dispute outcomes rely upon the evidence presented by the requestor and respondent during dispute resolution, and the thorough review and consideration of that evidence. After thorough review and consideration of all the evidence presented by the parties to this dispute, it is determined that the submitted documentation does not support the reimbursement amount sought by the requestor. The Division concludes that this dispute was not filed in the form and manner prescribed under Division rules at 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307(e)(2)(B),

§133.307(e)(2)(C), §133.307(g)(3)(C) and §133.307(g)(3)(D). Therefore, the requestor failed to meet its burden of proof to support its position that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.				
PART VI: GENERAL PAYMENT POLICIES/REF	FERENCES			
Texas Labor Code § 413.011(a-d), § 413.031 and § 413.28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, §134.1, §133. Texas Government Code, Chapter 2001, Subchapter G				
PART VII: DIVISION DECISION AND/OR ORDE	ER			
Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the Requestor is not entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute.				
DECISION:				
		12/8/09		
Authorized Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date		
PART VIII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST AN AP	PEAL			
Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to require the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within 20 (twenty). Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insura Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Res in Division Rule 148.3(c). Under Texas Labor Code Section 413.0311, your appear Chapter 142 Rules if the total amount sought does not exconducted by the State Office of Administrative Hearing	days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17 solution Findings and Decision together with other real will be handled by a Division hearing under Title 28 xceed \$2,000. If the total amount sought exceeds \$2,000.	nearing should be sent to: 7787, Austin, Texas, 78744. equired information specified Texas Administrative Code		
IFDR Tracking #: M4-07-1145-01	Page 3 of 3			